

10-10-7

FU

Even when stakes
(values at risk for
US, to be protected
by FU threat + FS/esc
(discussed as "inter-
-war, 2d-strike, retal)

war high:

Berlin

West Europe

Iran / ME

("SEA" - NO)

COSTS (OPPORTUNITY
COSTS) + RISKS WERE
HIGH (ER!) —

- Concealed
- not debated
- brief about
- alternatives were
considered

(should have
been chosen -

few risk

and l-t part of
view - + morality
even though

"not unsuccessful"
(yet)

[NO NOE WARS: like,
no more
9-11e)

10_

[But as our
GWOT has
breed terrorist
environments

(exp., Iraq; Iran!
Palestine/
Afghanistan)

Our FU/FS CW
policy has breed
- more winter conf
- more over + risk
- irreversibility?
- bid for attacks
...

10-10-7 EV

Were EV threats
- justified?

or

- effective?

- Reform/liberal
to be "effective"?

- highly valuable?

(what was valuable,

or Reform? SMALL!

(Berlin? US role in Europe?

SU out of ME?

- necessity
(only way of achieving...)

Alternatives for USC?

What consequences?

(Worst? Loss of
US leadership toward
abol, or even non-prolif,
non-use;

US leadership is wrong
direction -

[Even if all things bad

be "revoked"

and successful!

see, e.g. N hopes,
(and failures : N '69-75)
VN, 60-75

Point: If there
are be "justified"
any more than
to the
of terror
of S.B.

be if "effective"
- Co. "Contradictory"
[that's not CP, except for
policy]